

Framework for consultation feedback on professional documents

PG47 Guideline on training and practice of perioperative diagnostic point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS)

Stakeholder name: New Zealand Society of Anaesthetists (NZSA)

Questions	Yes or No	Comments
1. Are the intent and purpose of the document clear and unequivocal? a. If not, then how could this be better achieved?	Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>	
2. Does the scope of the document align with its purpose? a. If not, then why not?	Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Are the applicable standards identifiable?	Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Does the information presented adequately address the issues?	Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>	
5. Do the recommendations fulfill the intent of the document? a. Are there any other recommendations that should be added? For example, are there any	Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>	

Questions	Yes or No	Comments
<p>jurisdictional requirements that have not been considered, in relation to geographical location and/or professional organisational context? Are there any cultural safety requirements that may be applicable?</p> <p>b. Are there any recommendations that should be removed?</p>		
<p>6. Are there any aspects that have not been considered that merit consideration?</p>	<p>Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>N <input type="checkbox"/></p>	
<p>7. Do you think that the document will serve its stated purpose?</p>	<p>Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>N <input type="checkbox"/></p>	
<p>8. Any other comments</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As written, this Guideline will increase audit and CME requirements which may have a greater impact on smaller anaesthesia departments here in Aotearoa New Zealand. • The NZSA are supportive of this change as it is in alignment with what is already done in cardiac POCUS and it seems prudent to bring all four POCUS areas up to the same standard. • There will likely be issues with resources to provide education and training and it may fall to conferences to assist with the pathology numbers/training to upskill POCUS anaesthetists.

Questions	Yes or No	Comments
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="1122 304 2022 539">• The NZSA believe it would be sufficient to have a delineation of 'regional ultrasound block competent' versus 'not competent' as a way of differentiating the numbers needed for yearly POCUS exams. We consider the current definition could benefit from simplification.<li data-bbox="1122 564 1957 651">• Additionally, we recommend that section 7.2 be refined to be clearer in its meaning as it is at risk of misinterpretation.